

1 Mr. Stacy states there in his affidavit that  
2 Albion was given exactly the same information that  
3 BellSouth has supplied to CLECs, including -- and  
4 there are three things listed there, the LENS CGI  
5 specification, the EDI-PC specification, and  
6 access to LENS and EDI-PC.

7 Is that a correct reading?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. This question is directed to  
10 Mr. Runnels. Mr. Runnels, that list of three  
11 things that were listed there, were you provided,  
12 or to your knowledge, was Albion provided anything  
13 outside that list of three?

14 BY MR. RUNNELS:

15 A. Not in document format. There were  
16 phone calls for clarification about the  
17 documents. But there were no other documents  
18 provided.

19 Q. And these clarifying phone calls took  
20 place between you and the resource persons  
21 listed --

22 A. (Witness nodded head affirmatively.)

23 Q. -- at the end of this document?

24 A. That is correct.

25 Q. Would you say that primarily most of

1 these phone questions were responded to by Alex  
2 Dizon?

3 A. No. In most cases, I would ask Alex  
4 if -- and Alex was just a business contact. He  
5 wasn't aware of the technical specifications. He  
6 would refer me to who he thought would help who --  
7 I guess normally who a CLEC would go to. I'm not  
8 sure. And I would contact that person.

9 If that wasn't who was responsible for  
10 that, they would send me to somebody else.  
11 Sometimes it was -- in the case of Raymond Betts,  
12 sometimes I would get answers from Carol Douglas,  
13 since she was in charge of his work.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. In some cases, he would call me back  
16 directly.

17 Q. Okay. And you testified earlier that  
18 you did not consult with any CLECs as to the  
19 information that had been furnished to CLECs by  
20 BellSouth and if CLECs wanted to develop a similar  
21 application. Did you consult with CLECs for any  
22 reason whatsoever in connection with this  
23 project?

24 A. Could you break that up into separate  
25 questions?

1           Q.     Right. Yes, I'm sorry. You had  
2 testified earlier, I believe, that no one from  
3 Albion had contacted or consulted CLECs as to the  
4 information that had been furnished to CLECs.

5 BY MR. BERMAN:

6           A.     And I said --

7           Q.     -- if they wanted to develop the same  
8 or similar applications.

9           A.     And I answered no to that.

10          Q.     Right.

11                 MR. ALEXANDER: I think Mr. Berman  
12 answered no to that. And Mr. Runnels said he went  
13 and checked the internet and saw the information  
14 that was available to CLECs.

15                 MR. RUNNELS: The information that we  
16 had was out there on the Internet.

17 BY MR. ATKINSON:

18           Q.     Okay. And my question is, did you  
19 consult -- did anyone at Albion to your knowledge  
20 consult with CLECs for any reason in connection  
21 with this project?

22 BY MR. BERMAN:

23           A.     No.

24 BY MR. RUNNELS:

25           A.     To my knowledge, that did not happen.

1           Q.     Do you know whether BellSouth ever  
2 requested input from CLECs regarding the design of  
3 the OP software?

4           A.     I don't know that.

5           Q.     Mr. Berman, do you know that?

6 BY MR. BERMAN:

7           A.     I don't know that either.

8           Q.     I'll direct your attention, gentlemen,  
9 to page 2 of the Albion report under development  
10 environment where you list out several different  
11 kinds of hardware and software that were used in  
12 the development process. One of the components  
13 listed there is repository base development.

14                   Mr. Berman, could you please identify  
15 for us exactly what that is?

16           A.     Repository base development is --  
17 effectively, the application development  
18 environment that we used is built around the  
19 repository of shared information -- in this case,  
20 libraries of classes and methods and such like  
21 that.

22                   And so effectively, a repository based  
23 environment or a development environment, it's a  
24 team based environment where you're checking  
25 components in and out and sharing that as you

1 build that up to the final product. It's not a  
2 product in and of itself.

3 Q. So this has to do with the framework of  
4 libraries and classes that was considered  
5 proprietary by Albion?

6 A. No. This is actually a part of the  
7 actual application development environment, which  
8 is Forte.

9 Q. All right. Gentlemen, I'll direct this  
10 question to you one at a time. What materials, if  
11 any, did you review in preparation for your  
12 appearance here today?

13 A. We met with the BellSouth attorneys  
14 yesterday. And basically they told us --  
15 explained to us what was going to happen and to  
16 tell the truth. And they showed us the -- I've  
17 seen the affidavit that was filed in I guess  
18 Kentucky and one for Louisiana. We actually even  
19 saw a video on doing depositions yesterday  
20 afternoon.

21 Q. And that was a BellSouth produced  
22 affidavit?

23 MR. ALEXANDER: I'll answer that. No.  
24 It's commercially available I think this one is  
25 Knowles Law Publishing.

1 MR. MERSHON: You can borrow it.

2 MR. ALEXANDER: We'll loan it to  
3 Sprint.

4 MR. MERSHON: Slight charge.

5 (A discussion was had off the record.)

6 BY MR. ATKINSON:

7 Q. And, Mr. Runnels, I'll direct the same  
8 question to you. Did you see anything in addition  
9 to our what Mr. Berman has?

10 BY MR. RUNNELS:

11 A. I saw the same things that Greg did.  
12 No more, no less.

13 Q. Mr. Runnels -- this may be finally, so  
14 I will preface this with finally, you discussed  
15 earlier today in your testimony your knowledge of  
16 the testing I believe that BellSouth had done with  
17 the software that they received from Albion as a  
18 completed product. Is that correct? Did you  
19 discuss that today?

20 A. I'm not sure. Can you rephrase that?

21 Q. Well, let me rephrase. You used the  
22 phrase acceptance testing, I believe, in  
23 connection with what Mr. Dizon did with the  
24 completed software.

25 A. That is correct.

1           Q.     And to your knowledge, were there any  
2 other kinds or categories of testing that  
3 BellSouth performed on the completed software  
4 application completed by Albion?

5           A.     I don't know.

6           Q.     This was the only kind of testing you  
7 discussed with Mr. Dizon though was the acceptance  
8 testing?

9           A.     That is correct.

10           MR. ATKINSON: One moment.

11           (A discussion was had off the record.)

12           MR. ATKINSON: Actually, I did mean  
13 final. Thank you, gentlemen.

14           (A discussion was had off the record.)

15           MR. ALEXANDER: BellSouth will ask  
16 redirect.

17           (A short recess was had.)

18                               EXAMINATION

19           BY MR. ALEXANDER:

20           Q.     For the record, I'm Tom Alexander,  
21 representing BellSouth. And I'll be asking  
22 questions redirect, I suppose, to the two  
23 witnesses being deposed from Albion today. I  
24 guess I'll ask Mr. Berman some of the general  
25 questions about the project and information like

1       that.

2                   Mr. Berman, I'm trying to find some  
3       notes I had. What were the amount of time that  
4       you spent, I believe -- at this point, I can't  
5       recall who asked the questions. I think it was  
6       MCI. You responded that you wrote a little bit of  
7       source code in order to interface with one of the  
8       other systems.

9                   I don't even recall which system it  
10       was, but you did write a little bit of code; is  
11       that correct?

12       BY MR. BERMAN:

13               A.       Yes, sir.

14               Q.       How much -- what percentage of the code  
15       was written for the Albion -- ordering  
16       pre-ordering, the rest of them, integration  
17       interface that BellSouth hired, what percentage of  
18       the code did you write out of that?

19               A.       Less than one.

20               Q.       Less than one percent? So it's fair to  
21       say that the majority of the code, the vast  
22       majority -- 99-point-something percent of the code  
23       was written by the programmers?

24               A.       That's correct. I wrote less than two  
25       hours.



1           Q.     And other questions were asked during  
2 cross earlier today -- I can no longer say  
3 earlier -- this morning, concerning the scope of  
4 the project and how much money. And I believe we  
5 determined that between 19 and \$20,000 additional  
6 over what's shown on page 1 of the Albion project  
7 report was added to it after the April 30th, 1998  
8 end date; is that correct?

9           A.     That's correct.

10          Q.     And did that include -- the additional  
11 19 to \$20,000, did that include meetings that  
12 Albion would have had with -- I believe someone  
13 referred to auditors from BellSouth from Ernst &  
14 Young?

15          A.     Yes, sir.

16          Q.     And would that have also included  
17 talking with Albion employees, talking with  
18 BellSouth employees, concerning this acceptance  
19 testing that we heard about?

20          A.     Yes, sir.

21          Q.     And would that also -- that additional  
22 money that's not present on page 1 of the document  
23 also include the documentation writer's time and  
24 expenses?

25          A.     That's correct.

1           Q.       And when we talked about the  
2 documentation writer, that's someone outside of  
3 Albion?

4           A.       That's correct.

5           Q.       That would have been money Albion would  
6 have had to pay a third party?

7           A.       That's correct.

8           Q.       And as to the time it was completed,  
9 the document shows on page 1 that it started --  
10 the OPII started on February 24th of 1998, and  
11 completed April 30th, 1998. Was the major  
12 functionality accomplished during that time  
13 frame?

14          A.       Yes, sir.

15          Q.       And I believe you said there were --  
16 somebody used the term -- one of the attorneys for  
17 the intervenors used the term for some other  
18 programming type problems maybe and used the term  
19 bugs. Would those have been things that were just  
20 considered cleanup after a project is done?

21          A.       Yes, sir.

22          Q.       Is that true in other instances, other  
23 projects you do for other clients?

24          A.       That's true in software worldwide.

25          Q.       So the fact that the begin date and end

1 date is listed and there is some follow-up later  
2 is not indicative of something unusual about this  
3 project?

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. And the scope of the original project,  
6 you listed that also on the executive overview on  
7 page 1. The parsing of CSRs, I believe we've  
8 heard both of you say differently, but it was at  
9 the end of the project when it even first came up;  
10 is that correct?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. So it was not a part of your primary  
13 work?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Would you describe it as a minor part,  
16 a small part? How would you describe it, since it  
17 wasn't in the original scope of the project?

18 A. Very minor.

19 Q. We talked about -- I guess someone  
20 tried to categorize the amount of that 19 or  
21 \$20,000 that was over the amount shown, the  
22 120,675 that's shown on page 1, as being four  
23 weeks of programming.

24 Would it be more accurate to say,  
25 Mr. Berman, that that's really four weeks of a

1 programmer's time or someone else at Albion's time  
2 doing the things that I just went over earlier,  
3 meeting with an auditor from BellSouth or talking  
4 with BellSouth as they went through acceptance  
5 testing and those type things?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. I just want to be clear that that's not  
8 additional programming that's taking place on this  
9 main project.

10 A. Yes, sir. One thing to add, the reason  
11 why that number did not make it into this document  
12 is that our invoicing is in arrears. And so I'm  
13 not privy to that information based on when this  
14 document was due out.

15 Q. There was no intention to hide this  
16 additional steps or work --

17 A. No.

18 Q. -- that BellSouth actually paid Albion  
19 to perform?

20 A. No, sir.

21 Q. And you were also asked questions about  
22 the documentation that BellSouth furnished to  
23 Albion. And the context was that you guys are to  
24 act or mimic, I believe I've heard several times  
25 today, as a CLEC would in developing this

1 interface?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And you listed the documentation -- I  
4 believe counsel for AT&T attempted to insert that  
5 there was actually four documents, a LENS CGI spec  
6 for 2.0 or some earlier version than the 2.1  
7 that's listed in your report here. Do you recall  
8 that?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. Would it be more accurate to say that  
11 that's really not -- there really are not four  
12 different documents, but one of those items was an  
13 update to one that you already had?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And in fact, Mr. Runnels, did you  
16 testify earlier that nothing had changed that  
17 affected your work when you were given the updated  
18 version of the LENS CGI interface specification?

19 BY MR. RUNNELS:

20 A. Yes. Actually, just as a side note,  
21 I've been contacted by Alex Dizon because a recent  
22 change has gone into LENS, apparently even newer  
23 specifications. And he was concerned that what we  
24 had done might be affected by that.

25 And I'm not sure what the changes were

1       made, but even though this newer documentation  
2       came out, our application was still working the  
3       way it was originally designed.

4           Q.       And was it your understanding,  
5       Mr. Runnels, that Albion was to act as a CLEC, and  
6       that's the way BellSouth provided documentation  
7       and instructions to Albion?

8           A.       Well, I'm not sure that we were  
9       provided the information in the same way. I don't  
10      know what process a CLEC normally goes through to  
11      get that information. I do know that the  
12      information that we were provided was no different  
13      than what would be provided to a CLEC.

14          Q.       How do you know that?

15          A.       Mr. Dizon told me that. And actually  
16      if you go to the BellSouth interconnection web  
17      site, you can find -- provided you have access to  
18      that, you can find those documents.

19          Q.       Thank you, Mr. Runnels. Mr. Berman,  
20      I'm directing this to you. I believe in response  
21      to an earlier question, you indicate that the user  
22      interface part of the project took about, I guess,  
23      the first four weeks or 24, 25 percent of the  
24      time?

25      //

1 BY MR. BERMAN:

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. Do you recall that? And that the time  
4 spent by Albion on doing the actual integration of  
5 the CGI and the EDI interfaces was about  
6 75 percent of the time?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. All right. What percentage of the time  
9 of that amount would you have said would have been  
10 spent on parsing customer service records since it  
11 came at the end of the project?

12 A. Probably less than 3 percent,  
13 1 percent, very little.

14 Q. Would it be accurate to say that all  
15 the source code development was done prior to  
16 April 30, 1998, for the project?

17 A. Everything except possibly any code  
18 that was written for that view CSR.

19 Q. When I said the project, I really was  
20 referring to developing the interface that  
21 Albion -- that you all refer to as the  
22 Ordering/Pre-ordering Integration Interface, the  
23 OPII software.

24 Was that all done, the code  
25 development, etc., prior to April 30th, 1998?

1           A.     Yes, sir.

2           Q.     And you were asked a series of  
3 questions about the various screens that appear or  
4 windows that appear in your report. And I  
5 believe, Mr. Runnels, I heard you say something  
6 that a lot of this is done for presentation  
7 purposes.

8                     Does that mean that there may be  
9 machine to machine interfaces that are not going  
10 to appear on these windows or screens where the  
11 user can actually see what's going on?

12 BY MR. RUNNELS:

13           A.     That's correct.

14           Q.     And while we're talking about these  
15 screens -- and I won't ask you about concatenated,  
16 but these are not intended to be all inclusive, or  
17 are they, of the functionality of the OPII  
18 application? From what a viewer could see?

19           A.     From what a viewer could see?  
20 Everything that a viewer can see has been shown.

21           Q.     Okay. But there are other activities  
22 occurring machine to machine that's not  
23 displayed?

24           A.     There are examples of how the  
25 interaction takes place specifically within the



1 application for retrieving a list of telephone  
2 numbers, parsing that list of telephone numbers.

3 But there's no -- there's not a listing  
4 of how it's done for each type of request, like  
5 address validation or services and features,  
6 basically because it's all done the same way. So  
7 it suffices only to put one example in there.

8 Q. Okay. And you mentioned in your  
9 materials that Albion used some underlying  
10 software development tools or programs; is that  
11 right, Mr. Berman?

12 BY MR. BERMAN:

13 A. Our component libraries.

14 Q. Your component libraries, I believe  
15 your --

16 A. Framework.

17 Q. I think on page 2, under development  
18 environment, is that where those are listed?

19 A. That's correct. It's page 2.

20 Q. Do you know whether or not -- first of  
21 all, has Albion done work for other companies that  
22 may use this type of underlying development  
23 software?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Can you tell us some of those

1 companies?

2 A. Atlantic Mutual out of Roanoke,  
3 Virginia, Liberty Mutual out of Danvers, New  
4 Hampshire -- actually, Massachusetts, Portsmouth,  
5 New Hampshire. Jack is using it at BellSouth  
6 Communications Systems in Roanoke.

7 Q. And that's a separate company than the  
8 work you did for --

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. -- BellSouth Telecommunications --

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. -- that you're discussing in this  
13 deposition?

14 A. That's correct. So that's three  
15 examples.

16 Q. Do you know whether or not, for  
17 example, MCI or a company affiliated with MCI has  
18 used any of this underlying development software?

19 A. Our development software?

20 Q. No. The Forte, I assume that's another  
21 party. Microsoft NT, OS, Oracle, any of those.  
22 Forte Software, Forte application development  
23 environment, are those Albion's or are those  
24 from --

25 A. That's from Forte Software out of

1 California.

2 Q. Do you know whether or not those are  
3 available to companies like MCI?

4 A. Yes, they are.

5 Q. Do you know if MCI is using that?

6 A. MRI System House is actually a Forte  
7 integrator. Companies like Sprint, who is one of  
8 our clients, is currently using Forte. Quest LCI  
9 uses Forte. U.S. West has got a very large  
10 project in Forte right now. And BellCore is  
11 actually using Forte, B-e-l-l-C-o-r-e.

12 Q. You mentioned that Sprint is one of  
13 Albion's client's. Are there other  
14 telecommunications carriers that Albion has done  
15 software development or programming work for?

16 A. Albion has worked with LCI, Quest LCI  
17 now. Albion has also done work with LBDS, which  
18 is now WorldCom. Albion has actually provided  
19 some consulting services to AT&T Universal Card.

20 Q. If you had to characterize in a  
21 sentence or less what was the main purpose of the  
22 effort Albion did for BellSouth, how would you do  
23 that?

24 A. Prove the integration viability of an  
25 application on top of two pieces of functionality

1 that are preexisting within BellSouth and the  
2 specifications exist to do that.

3 Q. Is Albion willing and able to help MCI,  
4 AT&T or Sprint or other carriers that may want to  
5 perform the integration of the CGI interface or  
6 the EDI interface?

7 A. Sure.

8 Q. Has MCI, AT&T or Sprint or any other  
9 carriers that are represented by the attorneys at  
10 this table asked Albion to do those functions?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Would you have -- how long did it take  
13 to complete this project? Was it February 24 to  
14 April 30 date? Is that the time frame, roughly  
15 nine weeks?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. From your experience in doing this type  
18 of work, Mr. Berman, would you describe this as an  
19 extremely complicated project that Albion  
20 undertook? Or what degree of difficulty in  
21 comparison to other projects?

22 A. All software is complex. I would say  
23 probably a 5 on a scale of 1 to 10.

24 Q. So medium range difficulty?

25 A. (Witness nodded head affirmatively.)

1           Q.     On the subject of customer service  
2 record parsing, has MCI asked, to your  
3 knowledge -- Mr. Berman, I'll direct to this to  
4 you -- to assist them in integration or in  
5 customer service record or CSR parsing?

6           A.     No.

7           Q.     Did Albion electronically construct and  
8 submit an order via the EDI interface as part of  
9 this project?

10          A.     That's correct.

11          Q.     Did Albion need to use parsed customer  
12 service record, CSRs, in order to accomplish  
13 that?

14          A.     No, sir.

15          Q.     Mr. Runnels, I want to follow up on  
16 some questions that were asked you about a  
17 conversation you had with Mr. Turner from MCI and  
18 a Mr. Alden. Was that correct, A-l-d-e-n?

19                   Do you recall those questions?

20       BY MR. RUNNELS:

21          A.     Yes.

22          Q.     First, how many telephone calls did you  
23 receive from anybody at MCI, Mr. Runnels?

24          A.     There may have been a total of three.  
25 I think there was the first one, where Mark said

1 he wanted to set up a conference call. There may  
2 have been a second one the next day in which he  
3 called and said that he couldn't get ahold of the  
4 developer at the certain time or something like  
5 that.

6 We were dealing with different time  
7 zones. And then there was a third one where the  
8 actual CSR was discussed.

9 Q. So two or three, depending on if the  
10 second one actually occurred or not, but maybe  
11 three times you talked to someone from MCI?

12 A. Yeah.

13 Q. Mr. Berman, how about you? How many  
14 CLECs called you?

15 BY MR. BERMAN:

16 A. I'll list them. I had Quest LCI call.  
17 I had --

18 Q. Was that one or more calls?

19 A. That was one phone call. At least one  
20 phone called with Intermedia. One phone call  
21 with -- well, with MCI, I had a phone call from  
22 Vinnie Clemmons.

23 Q. Who is Mr. Clemmons, to your knowledge?

24 A. Works for MCI out of Virginia.

25 Q. Was he a software type person, IS,

1 Information Systems?

2 A. He said he was representing IS.

3 Q. Okay. Go ahead.

4 A. The second MCI phone call was from Mark  
5 Turner. And there may have been one more phone  
6 call with Mark. I don't remember.

7 Q. Anybody from AT&T ever call either of  
8 you gentlemen?

9 A. No.

10 BY MR. RUNNELS:

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did anybody from Sprint ever call  
13 either of you gentlemen?

14 A. No.

15 BY MR. BERMAN:

16 A. No.

17 Q. Would you have had any reason to call  
18 anybody from AT&T or Sprint or other CLECs as a  
19 part of doing your job that you were contracted  
20 with BellSouth to develop the  
21 Ordering/Pre-ordering Integration Interface, the  
22 OPII?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Mr. Mark Turner from MCI is here today  
25 attending this deposition, along with Mr. Jay

1 Bradbury from AT&T, observing. Have either of you  
2 ever met with or laid eyes on Mr. Turner before  
3 today?

4 A. No.

5 BY MR. RUNNELS:

6 A. No.

7 Q. Mr. Runnels, when you were asked about  
8 a conversation that you had by telephone,  
9 conversation you had with Mr. Turner, and it was  
10 identified later Mr. Alden on your last call with  
11 them, did you have any idea -- well, how long did  
12 that call last? Let me start there.

13 A. I would say about 30 minutes. Rather  
14 short conversation.

15 Q. Did they tell you the purpose of that  
16 call?

17 A. I was told that -- and I can't remember  
18 if Mr. Turner had said this before I started  
19 talking to the developer, but another developer,  
20 Mr. Alden, had said that they were trying to parse  
21 a CSR, were having trouble doing that, and had  
22 heard that we could do it.

23 Q. Did they say where they heard that?

24 A. I didn't ask. I assumed it was from  
25 all this kind of stuff.



1           Q.     All this kind of stuff, you mean  
2 regulatory type proceedings?

3           A.     Yes.

4           Q.     Who did most of the talking?  
5 Mr. Turner or this Mr. Alden, the developer? Or  
6 did they say what kind of developer Mr. Alden  
7 was?

8           A.     I don't remember.

9           Q.     Did they say whether he worked for MCI  
10 or was an outside consultant?

11          A.     I don't remember.

12          Q.     Who did most of the talking during this  
13 conversation, the 30-minute conversation you had?

14          A.     Mr. Turner introduced Mr. Alden and  
15 myself to each other, kind of started the  
16 conversation off. And then Mr. Alden and I talked  
17 just between the two of us for most of that 30  
18 minutes or however long the phone call was.

19                 And at the end, he asked Mr. Turner if  
20 there was anything else that we needed to clear up  
21 or if there was any other points to a conversation  
22 that needed to occur. Mr. Turner said that there  
23 wasn't. So the phone call ended.

24          Q.     So would it be fair to characterize,  
25 given what you said, Mr. Turner started the